UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,362	08/23/2006	Pierre Vidalinc	BJS-960-50	6744
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 06/19/200 NDERHYE. PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GI	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	THERKORN, ERNEST G		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/590,362	VIDALINC, PIERRE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ernest G. Therkorn	1797			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 I	May 2009.				
·		s action is non-final.				
′=	<i>'-</i>					
- / 🗀	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	·					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□						
Application Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
<i>,</i> —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
·	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
· -	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
/ -						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* S	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
S) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676). The claims read on Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676). However, if a difference exists between the claims and Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676), it would reside in optimizing the elements of Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676). It would be obvious to optimize the elements of Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676) to enhance separation.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676) in view of Hanmer (U.S. Patent No. 5,788,127) and Marmon (U.S. Patent No. 4,437,487). At best, the claims differ from Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676) in reciting the particular valve. Hanmer (U.S. Patent No. 5,788,127) (Abstract and column 1, lines 3-15) discloses that use of a valve with a tapered outlet passage allows accurate dispensing. Marmon (U.S. Patent No. 4,437,487) (Abstract) discloses openings in the valve housing proximate to the periphery of the unseated valve for the obvious purpose of allowing unimpeded

dispensing of fluid. It would have been obvious to use the recited valve in Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676) because Hanmer (U.S. Patent No. 5,788,127) (Abstract and column 1, lines 3-15) discloses that use of a valve with a tapered outlet passage allows accurate dispensing and Marmon (U.S. Patent No. 4,437,487) (Abstract) discloses openings in the valve housing proximate to the periphery of the unseated valve for the obvious purpose of allowing unimpeded dispensing of fluid.

The remarks urge patentability based upon the allegation that Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676) does not disclose the process limitation of loading the column with a dry resin. However, the apparatus claims read on structures that do not actually perform the process step.

The remarks urge patentability based upon the allegation that Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676) does not disclose the process limitation of using a pump. However, the apparatus claims read on structures that do not actually perform the process step.

The remarks urge patentability based upon the allegation that Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676) does not disclose the process limitation of using a particle size at least 10,000 times smaller than the inlet. However, the apparatus claims read on structures that do not actually perform the process step.

The remarks urge that neither Hanmer (U.S. Patent No. 5,788,127) nor Marmon (U.S. Patent No. 4,437,487) alone discloses the particular valve. However, Hanmer (U.S. Patent No. 5,788,127) (Abstract and column 1, lines 3-15) discloses that use of a valve with a tapered outlet passage allows accurate dispensing. Marmon (U.S. Patent

No. 4,437,487) (Abstract) discloses openings in the valve housing proximate to the periphery of the unseated valve for the obvious purpose of allowing unimpeded dispensing of fluid. It would have been obvious to use openings in the valve housing proximate to the periphery of the unseated valve in Hanmer (U.S. Patent No. 5,788,127) because Marmon (U.S. Patent No. 4,437,487) (Abstract) discloses openings in the valve housing proximate to the periphery of the unseated valve for the obvious purpose of allowing unimpeded dispensing of fluid.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (571) 272-1149. The official fax number is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,362 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Ernest G. Therkorn/
Ernest G. Therkorn
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1797

EGT June 17, 2009